24

25

**26** 

27

28

1	RICHARD H. CLOSE (Bar No. 50298)
2	rclose@gilchristrutter.com THOMAS W. CASPARIAN (Bar No. 169763)
3	tcasparian@gilchristrutter.com YEN N. NGUYEN (Bar No. 233880)
4	ynguyen@gilchristrutter.com GILCHRIST & RUTTER
5	Professional Corporation Wilshire Palisades Building
6	1299 Ocean Avenue, Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401-1000
7	Telephone: (310) 393-4000 Facsimile: (310) 394-4700
8	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Palo Mobile Estates Associates
10	UNITED STATES
11	NORTHERN DISTR
12	
13	PALO MOBILE ESTATES ASSOCIATES, a California limited partnership,
14	Plaintiff,
-	1 101111111,
15	
	VS.
15	
15 16	vs. CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, a municipal
15 16 17	vs.  CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, a municipal corporation; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
15 16 17 18	vs.  CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, a municipal corporation; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
15 16 17 18 19	vs.  CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, a municipal corporation; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
15 16 17 18 19 20	vs.  CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, a municipal corporation; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,  Defendants.

ED STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE **MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;** 

CASE NO: C 07-03601 PJH

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Superior Court Action Filed: June 12, 2007 Removed to Federal Court: July 12, 2007 Trial Date: None Set

inagement conference on December 6, 2007, the Court stayed arties pending the outcome of the concurrent petition for a writ ty Superior Court ("Case No. CIV 467731");

WHEREAS, at the time of the previous case management conference, the parties were working on a proposed briefing schedule and hearing date for the petition for a writ of mandate and hoped to have the hearing in March 2008;

WHEREAS, the parties worked out a briefing schedule that was accepted by the San Mateo County Superior Court, but the hearing was set for and took place on April 11, 2008.

[:/158212 1.DOC/041608/4604.001]

WHEREAS, the San Mateo County Superior Court has not yet issued a ruling on the 1 2 motion for writ of mandate in Case No. CIV 467731; 3 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by PME and City of East Palo Alto, by 4 5 and through their respective counsel, that: 6 7 This Court continue the stay in this action for a further sixty (60) days and continue the 8 Case Management Conference for a further (60) days. 9 10 DATED: April 16, 2008 GILCHRIST & RUTTER **Professional Corporation** 11 12 /s/ Thomas W. Casparian 13 Thomas W. Casparian 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Palo Mobile Estates Associates 15 16 DATED: April 16, 2008 JARVIS, FAY & DOPORTO, LLP 17 18 By: /s/ Benjamin P. Fay Benjamin P. Fay 19 Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO 20 21 **ORDER** 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED 23 IT IS SO ORDERED 24 DATED: 4/17/08 25 Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton **26** THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 27 IS CONTINUED TO 6/19/08 AT 2:30 P.M. 28

[:/158212 1.DOC/041608/4604.001]

GILCHRIST & RUTTER
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1299 OCEANAMA AVENUE, SUITE 900 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401-10C TEL (310) 393-4000 • FAX (310) 394-47C

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; [PROPOSED] ORDER – C07-03601 PJH

# GILCHRIST & RUTTER PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1299 OCEAN AVENUE, SUITE 900 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401-1000 TEL (310) 393-4000 • FAX (310) 394-4700

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1299 Ocean Avenue, Suite 900, Santa Monica, California 90401-1000. On April 16, 2008, I served the within documents:

# STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [PROPOSED] ORDER

	by transmitting the document(s) listed above via facsimile from sending facsimile
-	machine number to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m
	and receiving confirmed transmission reports indicating that the document(s) were
	successfully transmitted.

- [X] by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Santa Monica, California, addressed as set forth below.
- [ ] by causing overnight delivery by of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- [ ] by causing personal delivery by of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

- [ ] (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- [X] (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on April 16, 2008 at Santa Monica, California.

/s/ M.L. Glenn M.L. Glenn

\_3\_

# 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 GILCHRIST & RUTTER PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1299 OCEAN AVENUE, SUITE 000 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401-1000 TEL (310) 393-4000 • FAX (310) 394-4700 11 12 13 14 15 16 **17** 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 **26**

27

28

1

2

3

Rafael E. Alvarado, Esq.

Assistant City Attorney

2415 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303

Benjamin P. Fay, Esq.

Jarvis, Fay & Doporto, LLP 475 - 14th Street, Suite 260

Rick W. Jarvis, Esq.

Oakland, CA 94612

Office of the City Attorney City of East Palo Alto

## **SERVICE LIST**

Attorneys for Respondent City of East Palo Alto

Attorneys for Respondent City of East Palo Alto